

## **Reducing Early Hospital Readmission Rates After Bariatric Surgery** Payal Sharma, MSN, RN, FNP-BC, CBN & Joan Kearney, PhD, APRN, FAAN

### INTRODUCTION

- Bariatric surgery is a well-established means of treating obesity.
- The prevalence of 30-day readmission after bariatric surgery is 0.6% to 11.3%,<sup>2</sup> with a single hospital readmission nearly tripling the average 180-day cost of the surgery.<sup>3</sup>
- Nausea, vomiting, dehydration and abdominal pain are the most common, but often preventable causes of readmission after bariatric surgery.<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup>
- Understanding the underlying reasons for patients' readmission, associated factors, and exploring current or future interventions may enable healthcare providers to target their efforts to reduce avoidable early readmission rates.<sup>2</sup>
- Close postoperative follow-up may allow for early identification of highrisk patients and preventative interventions. Consequently, healthcare providers can deliver timely interventions, potentially reducing avoidable readmissions and reducing the economic burden on patients, hospitals, and payers.

Project augmented follow up post-bariatric surgery: incorporated 2<sup>nd</sup> post-d/c call (7-10 days) by RD following routine 1<sup>st</sup> post-d/c call (1-3 days) by APP. Impact on readmission rate examined. 166 participants: men & women ≥18 years of age, status post primary bariatric surgery only.

Aim 1. Increased readmissions & need for protocol revision discussed at monthly meeting. Follow up meeting w/ APPs & RDs scheduled. Instructions re: protocol & script for RDs created & approved. Smart-phrase template created in Epic. 2<sup>nd</sup> post-d/c call schedule created.

# **OBJECTIVES/AIMS**

This project addressed whether improved clinical follow up within a brief postoperative period of time was effective in reducing early bariatric readmission rates in a large urban hospital that is a Bariatric Center of Excellence. This 7-month QI project was conducted at New York-Presbyterian/Weill Cornell Medicine's Section of GI Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery. There were 4 specific aims which are outlined below:

- 1) To develop a revised protocol for post-operative follow up of bariatric surgery patients
- 2) To pilot the revised protocol
- 3) To evaluate trends in 30-day readmission rate pre- and postimplementation of protocol
- 4) To develop recommendations based on pilot findings for revision of the existing postoperative follow up protocol and provide preliminary recommendations regarding care of post-op bariatric patients for dissemination to American Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMBS) as well as ASMBS' Certified Bariatric Nurse (CBN) Certification Committee

**Aim 4.** Preliminary recommendations identified: how bariatric centers may adapt protocol and/or improve post-op care. Abstract submitted 1/2021 for poster during ObesityWeek 2021 conference. Preliminary recommendations shared with ASMBS' CBN Certification Committee: early 2021 meeting.



# **METHODS**

- Aim 2. APPs followed original protocol. 2nd post-d/c call conducted by RDs.
  - Call duration  $\sim$  3-5 minutes
  - RD's referred back to telephone encounters documented by APPs
  - Use of interpreter documented where it applied
  - RDs used same smart-phrase template in Epic
  - RD's routed any concerns through Epic to designated APP
  - APPs made one attempt to call, two attempts made by RD
  - Communication/attempts documented in Epic

**Aim 3.** Quality Specialist gathered data regarding 30-day calls & tracked 30-day readmission rates. Pre/post-protocol implementation readmission rates compared using 2-sample test of proportions for decrease in 30-day readmission rate. Monthly meetings with RDs & APPs; staff questionnaire completed at end of project for feedback.

# REFERENCES

1. Aman, M.W., Stem, M., Schweitzer, M.A., Lidor, A.O., & Magnuson, T. H. (2016). Early hospital readmission after bariatric surgery. Surgery Endoscopy, 30, 2231-2238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4483-4

2. Berger, E. R., Huffman, K. M., Fraker, T., Petrick, A. T., Brethauer, S. A., Hall, B. L., . . . Morton, J. M. (2018). Prevalence and risk factors for bariatric surgery readmissions: Findings from 130,007 admissions in the Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation and Quality Improvement Program. Annals of Surgery, 267, 122-131. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000002079 3. Dorman, R. B., Miller, C. J., Leslie, D. B., Serrot, F. J., Slusarek, B., Buchwald, . . . Ikramuddin, S. (2012.) Risk for hospital readmission following bariatric surgery. Public Library of Science One, 7, e32506. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0032506

4. Doumouras, A. G., Saleh, F., & Hong, D. (2016). 30-day readmission after bariatric surgery in a publicly funded regionalized center of excellence system. Surgical Endoscopy, 30, 2066-2072. doi: 10.1007/s00464-015-4455-8 5. Petrick, A., Brindle, S. A., Vogels, E., Dove, J., Parker, D., & Gabrielsen, J. (2018). The readmission contradiction: Toward clarifying common misconceptions about bariatric readmissions and quality improvement. Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases, 14, 1026-1032. doi: 10.1016/j.soard.2018.02.017

6. Telem, D. A., Yang, J., Altieri, M., Patterson, W., Peoples, B., Chen, H., . . . Pryor, A. D. (2016). Rates and risk factors for unplanned emergency department utilization and hospital readmission following bariatric surgery. Annals of Surgery, 263, 956-960. doi: 10.1097/sla.000000000001536

### Yale school of nursing

### RESULTS

Proportion of patients experiencing post-surgery hospital readmission or ED visit evaluated across sample, stratified by procedure type & # of calls answered. Post-project readmission & ED visits were compared to NYP Semi-Annual Report (SAR) using 2-sample test of proportions. Downward trend in overall readmission rate for project participants (6.5%) (SAR) vs. 4.8% (Project)). Readmission rate related to procedure type: 9.2% (SAR) vs. 7.1% (Project)/Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, & 5.4% (SAR) vs. 4% (Project)/sleeve gastrectomy. Patients who connected only on 2<sup>nd</sup> call had 0 readmission & post-operation ED visits.

Table. 2: Readmission proportions

N 8/166 II	% 4.82	95% Cl <sup>1</sup> (2.10, 9.27)	N 20/310	% 6.45	95% CI <sup>7</sup>	P-value <sup>2</sup>
8/166 II	4.82	(2.10, 9.27)	20/310	6.45	(3.99, 9.79)	0.471
11					(0.00, 0.10)	0.471
1/20	5.00	(0.13, 24.87)	20/310	6.45	(3.99, 9.79)	0.797
0/25	0.00	(0.00, 13.72)	20/310	6.45	(3.99, 9.79)	0.190
7/115	6.09	(2.48, 12.14)	20/310	6.45	(3.99, 9.79)	0.891
3/42	7.14	(1.50, 19.48)	8/87	9.20	(4.05, 17.32)	0.696
5/124	4.03	(1.32, 9.16)	12/223	5.38	(2.81, 9.21)	0.577
	1/20 0/25 7/115 3/42 5/124	1/20 5.00   0/25 0.00   7/115 6.09   3/42 7.14   5/124 4.03	1/20 5.00 (0.13, 24.87)   0/25 0.00 (0.00, 13.72)   7/115 6.09 (2.48, 12.14)   3/42 7.14 (1.50, 19.48)   5/124 4.03 (1.32, 9.16)	1/205.00(0.13, 24.87)20/3100/250.00(0.00, 13.72)20/3107/1156.09(2.48, 12.14)20/3103/427.14(1.50, 19.48)8/875/1244.03(1.32, 9.16)12/223	1/205.00(0.13, 24.87)20/3106.450/250.00(0.00, 13.72)20/3106.457/1156.09(2.48, 12.14)20/3106.4577.14(1.50, 19.48)8/879.205/1244.03(1.32, 9.16)12/2235.38	1/205.00(0.13, 24.87)20/3106.45(3.99, 9.79)0/250.00(0.00, 13.72)20/3106.45(3.99, 9.79)7/1156.09(2.48, 12.14)20/3106.45(3.99, 9.79)7/1151012/2101111113/427.14(1.50, 19.48)8/879.20(4.05, 17.32)5/1244.03(1.32, 9.16)12/2235.38(2.81, 9.21)

<sup>1</sup> Confidence intervals calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method.

<sup>2</sup> Equality of proportions tested using a 2-sample test of proportions

#### Table. 3: ED visit proportions

Characteristic	Project Cohort						
	Ν	%	95% Cl1	N	%	95% Cl1	P-value
All patients	19/166	11.45	(7.03, 17.30)	30/310	9.68	(6.62, 13.53)	0.545
Post-discharge c	all						
Only connected on first call	1/20	5.00	(0.13, 24.87)	30/310	9.68	(6.62, 13.53)	0.487
Only connected on second call	0/25	0.00	(0.00, 13.72)	30/310	9.68	(6.62, 13.53)	0.103
Connected on both calls	17/115	14.78	(8.85, 22.61)	30/310	9.68	(6.62, 13.53)	0.136
Procedure							
Gastric Bypass	7/42	16.67	(6.97, 31.36)	10/87	11.49	(5.65, 20.12)	0.416
Sleeve Gastrectomy	12/124	9.68	(5.10, 16.29)	20/223	8.97	(5.56, 13.51)	0.827

<sup>1</sup> Confidence intervals calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method.

<sup>2</sup> Equality of proportions tested using a 2-sample test of proportions.